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## Recommendations:

A. That the Children and Young People's Overview and Scrutiny Panel discuss and comment on the current levels of performance set out in the attached report.

## 1 PURPOSE OF REPORT AND EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1.1. To provide the Overview and Scrutiny Panel with an annual school standards report.
DETAILS
2.1. Merton's Approach to School Improvement

It is the LA's responsibility to offer challenge and support to schools in inverse proportion to success and to escalate rapidly when concerns are identified. In order to achieve that Merton School Improvement team carries out the following functions:

It is the responsibility of all schools to evaluate their own performance and to secure improvements. We recognize that most of the expertise relating to school improvement is in schools. We therefore support partnership working at all levels and seek to develop the confidence of school leaders and staff, to engage actively in working beyond their own schools where appropriate. The Merton Education Partnership has been developed to provide a secure basis for ongoing partnership work for all schools and the linking of our Teaching Schools to a wider improvement programmed.
It is the LA's responsibility to offer challenge and support to schools in inverse proportion to success and to escalate rapidly when concerns are identified. In order to achieve that Merton School Improvement team carries out the following functions:

- Provide a framework for self evaluation
- Provide a Quality Assurance function giving external verification to self evaluation
- Provide all schools with a School Education Partner to challenge and support school leaders and Governors
- Provide proactive guidance on the collection and effective use of data
- Identification and sharing of local and national good practice
- Advice and guidance to school leaders on identifying, analyzing, planning and monitoring required improvements
- Training, coaching and advice on the curriculum, pedagogy, assessment, teaching and learning
- Working with school leaders to put in place organizational change in support of school improvement


### 2.2. Merton Schools' Ofsted Inspections

- Merton has $80 \%$ of schools rated good or outstanding for overall effectiveness. This is above the national average of $70 \%$.
- $85 \%$ of primary schools are good or better.
- Half of our secondary schools are due inspections in the coming year so the current statistics of $50 \%$ good or better should improve once inspections take place.



### 2.3. Pupil Outcomes in Merton Schools

- Performance at Early Years Foundation Stage Profile (EYFSP) is above the national average for all key measures. Children achieving 6 or more in all Personal Social Emotional Development scales, and 6 or more in all Communication Language \& Literacy scales, and a total 78 points or more, increased $4 \%$ from 2009/2010 and at $66 \%$ is $2 \%$ above the national average.
- Key Stage 1 performance is below the national average, for most subject areas the differences are marginal. Although 2012 has seen improvements, in writing, Merton is $3 \%$ below the national at level $2+, 5 \%$ below at level $2 B+$ and $2 \%$ below at level 3+, so is the area of most concern at this key stage.
- Key Stage 2, English and maths combined at level 4 has increased to 79\%. This is in line with the national average.
- Pupil progress as measured by value added from Key Stage 1 to Key Stage 2 has improved. Percentile rankings in value added ranks Merton 10, therefore Merton primary school pupils have made more progress than pupils in $90 \%$ of other local authorities nationally.
- GCSE performance is in line with national, with $59.1 \%$ of pupils achieving 5+A*-C including English and maths.
- Merton's GCSE value added score is above the average and Merton is percentile ranked 14, stating that secondary pupils have made more progress than 86\% of other local authorities nationally. Expected progress in English and maths from key stage 2 to 4 is significantly above the national averages.
- A Level outcome per entry has also increased this year with Merton pupils achieving an average points score of 218.0, which is above national and London averages.
- Overall attendance rates in Merton have shown an upward trajectory and are above national for all phases.
- Reducing persistent absenteeism (PA) has been the focus for the borough, targeted work with schools and pupils has significantly reduced these rates in 2010/11 from $5.7 \%$ to $5.2 \%$ for all schools at the $15 \%$ threshold, which is $0.9 \%$ better than the national average.
- Fixed term secondary school exclusions decreased from $12.88 \%$ to $11.89 \%$, however, this is still above London and national rates. Ranges of activities remain in place to continue the focus on reducing exclusions and improving behaviour is maintained.
- Permanent exclusions have halved in 2011/12 to 12 permanent exclusions from 24 in 2010/11. All permanent exclusions were pupils of secondary school age.
- Outcomes for looked after children are improving and the local authority is committed to 'closing the gap'.


## 3 ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

3.1. The Panel's scrutiny work programme is determined by the members of the Panel

4 CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN OR PROPOSED
4.1. The Panel has agreed to consider the performance report on an annual basis

5 TIMETABLE
5.1. None relating to this covering report

6 FINANCIAL, RESOURCE AND PROPERTY IMPLICATIONS
6.1. None relating to this covering report

7 LEGAL AND STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS
7.1. None relating to this covering report

8 HUMAN RIGHTS, EQUALITIES AND COMMUNITY COHESION IMPLICATIONS
8.1. All equalities issues raised in data, or from other sources, are considered both with Headteachers collectively and importantly with each individual school so that action can be taken if required

## 9 CRIME AND DISORDER IMPLICATIONS

9.1. None relating to this covering report

10 RISK MANAGEMENT AND HEALTH AND SAFETY IMPLICATIONS
10.1. None relating to this covering report

11 APPENDICES - THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS ARE TO BE PUBLISHED WITH THIS REPORT AND FORM PART OF THE REPORT
Celebrating Success - Achievement in Merton Schools 2011-2012

12 BACKGROUND PAPERS
12.1. None
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## 1. Merton Approach to School Improvement

Outlined below is the approach taken in Merton to school effectiveness and improving standards.

The role of the Local Authority (LA) in relation to education is an evolving one but in Merton the LA sets out to provide strategic system leadership as well as to convene and empower partnerships. The Local Government Association (LGA) recently suggested that the broad LA role was to:

- Support school improvement
- Bring forward new provision
- Champion education excellence
- Champion the needs of vulnerable pupils
- Ensure every child has access to a place at a good school

The core statutory education duties remain unchanged, namely:

- Ensure fair access to all schools for every child
- Support vulnerable pupils including Looked After Children, those with SEN and those outside mainstream education
- Support maintained schools performing below the floor targets to improve quickly or convert to Academy status and to develop their own school improvement strategies.

It is the responsibility of all schools to evaluate their own performance and to secure improvements. We recognize that most of the expertise relating to school improvement is in schools. We therefore support partnership working at all levels and seek to develop the confidence of school leaders and staff, to engage actively in working beyond their own schools where appropriate. The Merton Education Partnership has been developed to provide a secure basis for ongoing partnership work for all schools and the linking of our Teaching Schools to a wider improvement programmed.
It is the LA's responsibility to offer challenge and support to schools in inverse proportion to success and to escalate rapidly when concerns are identified. In order to achieve that Merton School Improvement team carries out the following functions:

- Provide a framework for self evaluation
- Provide a Quality Assurance function giving external verification to self evaluation
- Provide all schools with a School Education Partner to challenge and support school leaders and Governors
- Provide proactive guidance on the collection and effective use of data
- Identification and sharing of local and national good practice
- Advice and guidance to school leaders on identifying, analyzing, planning and monitoring required improvements
- Training, coaching and advice on the curriculum, pedagogy, assessment, teaching and learning
- Working with school leaders to put in place organizational change in support of school improvement

A range of other LA teams and services contribute to the wider school improvement agenda.
They are the Virtual School for Looked After Children, Schools ICT team, Governor Services, Equalities and Diversity team, SEN teams, Behavior and Safety team, Vulnerable Children's team, the Supporting Families team, Education Welfare service, Early Years teams, Traveller Education service, Continuing Professional Development team. These services all offer a buy back service through Service Level Agreements and also offer spot purchase opportunities. The offers are set out in our Service Level Agreement handbook.

The whole service is quality assured (QA)through line management structures, through the Children Schools and Families Directorate Management Team and regular meetings with the Chief Executive and Cabinet Members. Peer quality assurance and support is provided through the South West London School Effectiveness Partnership.

## 2. Merton Ofsted Inspection Outcomes

- Merton has $80 \%$ of schools rated good or outstanding for overall effectiveness. This is above the national average of $70 \%$.
- $85 \%$ of primary schools are good or better.
- Half of our secondary schools are due inspections in the coming year so the current statistics of $50 \%$ good or better should improve once inspections take place.

School Ofsted Outcomes: Overall Effectiveness


## 3. Pupil outcomes

- Performance at Early Years Foundation Stage Profile (EYFSP) is above the national average for all key measures. Children achieving 6 or more in all Personal Social Emotional Development scales, and 6 or more in all Communication Language \& Literacy scales, and a total 78 points or more, increased 4\% from 2009/2010 and at 66\% is $2 \%$ above the national average.
- Merton's phonic screening check outcomes are in line with national.
- Key Stage 1 performance is below the national average, for most subject areas the differences are marginal. Although 2012 has seen improvements, in writing, Merton is $3 \%$ below the national at level $2+, 5 \%$ below at level $2 B+$ and $2 \%$ below at level 3+
- Key Stage 2, English and maths combined at level 4 has increased to $79 \%$. This is in line with the national average.
- Progress in English from Key Stage 1 to 2 is significantly better than nationally.
- Pupil progress as measured by value added from Key Stage 1 to Key Stage 2 has improved. Percentile rankings in value added ranks Merton 10, therefore Merton primary school pupils have made more progress than pupils in $90 \%$ of other local authorities nationally.
- GCSE performance is in line with national, with $59.1 \%$ of pupils achieving $5+A^{*}$ - C including English and maths.
- Merton's GCSE value added score is above the average and Merton is percentile ranked 14 , stating that secondary pupils have made more progress than $86 \%$ of other local authorities nationally. Expected progress in English and maths from key stage 2 to 4 is significantly above the national averages.
- A Level outcome per entry has also increased this year with Merton pupils achieving an average points score of 218.0, which is above national and London averages.
- Overall attendance rates in Merton have shown an upward trajectory and are above national for all phases.
- Reducing persistent absenteeism (PA) has been the focus for the borough, targeted work with schools and pupils has significantly reduced these rates in 2010/11 from 5.7\% to $5.2 \%$ for all schools at the $15 \%$ threshold, which is $0.9 \%$ better than the national average.
- Fixed term secondary school exclusions decreased from 12.88\% to 11.89\%, however, this is still above London and national rates.
- Permanent exclusions have halved in 2011/12 to 12 permanent exclusions from 24 in 2010/11. All permanent exclusions were pupils of secondary school age.
- Outcomes for looked after children are improving and the local authority is committed to 'closing the gap'.


## A. Introduction

Merton is an outer London borough situated to the south west of central London and covers 14.7 square miles. Predominantly suburban in character, it is divided into 20 wards and has three main town centres; Wimbledon, Mitcham and Morden. Merton has a population of 199,693, including 47,100 children and young people aged 0-19. The population as a whole is predicated to increase by 2025. Merton has a younger population than the England average and there has been an increase, from 2,535 births in 2002 to 3,537 in 2011 a net increase of $40 \%$. This increase in births in Merton and London, with other demographic factors has created the need for more school places and placed pressure on pre-school services. http://www.merton.gov.uk/democratic services/w-agendas/w-fpreports/1124.pdf

Merton is the 23rd most diverse local authority nationally, and the 18th most diverse London borough, with a Black and minority ethnic population of $25 \%$ ( 2001 census). This has grown to $52 \%$ as recorded in the 2011 Census. The east of the borough is more diverse than the west. In addition, pupils in Merton schools also come from a more diverse background, with $63 \%$ from Black and minority ethnic groups, and over 120 languages spoken. The borough has, in particular, concentrations of Urdu speaking communities, Sri Lankan, South African and Polish residents. The proportion of pupils with a first language other than English is 40\%.

| 2011 Census Ethnic Categories | Persons All Ages |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2001 | 2011 | \% of 2011 population | \% change from 2001 census |
| White British | 120,378 | 96,658 | 48.4\% | -19.7\% |
| White Other | 20,505 | 32,948 | 16.5\% | 60.7\% |
| Asian Bangladeshi | 1,702 | 2,216 | 1.1\% | 30.2\% |
| Asian Indian | 8,043 | 8,106 | 4.1\% | 0.8\% |
| Asian Pakistani | 4,504 | 7,337 | 3.7\% | 62.9\% |
| Any other Asian background | 6,558 | 15,866 | 7.9\% | 141.9\% |
| Black African | 6,438 | 10,442 | 5.2\% | 62.2\% |
| Black Caribbean | 6,976 | 8,126 | 4.1\% | 16.5\% |
| Black Other | 1,212 | 2,243 | 1.1\% | 85.1\% |
| Mixed White \& Black Caribbean | 1,630 | 2,579 | 1.3\% | 58.2\% |
| Mixed White \& Black African | 734 | 1,279 | 0.6\% | 74.3\% |
| Mixed White \& Asian | 1,918 | 2,829 | 1.4\% | 47.5\% |
| Any other Mixed background | 1,587 | 2,647 | 1.3\% | 66.8\% |
| Chinese | 2,485 | 2,618 | 1.3\% | 5.4\% |
| Any other ethnic background | 3,238 | 3,779 | 1.9\% | 16.7\% |
| All Ethnicities | 187,908 | 199,693 |  |  |

Source: 2012 GLA Demographic Data

A characteristic of the borough is the difference between the poorer, more deprived east (Mitcham), and the wealthier, more prosperous west (Wimbledon). There a number of pockets of deprivation within the borough mainly in the eastern wards and some smaller pockets in the western wards. These wards have multiple deprivation having high scores on income deprivation, unemployment and lack of education attainment. Merton is less deprived than the national average, but 39 Super Output Areas (out of 124) are amongst the 30\% most deprived Super Output Areas across England for children (Source: Income Deprivation Affecting Children Index 2010). The Income Deprivation Affecting Children Index (IDACI) comprises the percentage of children aged under 16 in each Super Output Area (SOA) living in families in receipt of certain means tested benefits. 45\% of Merton school pupils are living in an area of deprivation ( $30 \%$ most deprived, IDACI 2010). The proportion of children and young people entitled to free school meals is $17.4 \%$. this is an increase of $21 \%$ of children and young people eligible for free school meals in Merton schools since 2010.

Index of Multiple Deprivation 2010


Income Deprivation Affecting Children Index 2010


According to the Indices of Multiple Deprivation (IMD) 2010 which combines the IDACI and Income Deprivation Affecting Older People Index (IDAOPI), a wide range of measures not specifically related to children, Merton is ranked $208^{\text {th }}$ most deprived local authority district in the country (out of 326 ) local authorities, $4^{\text {th }}$ least deprived authority in London (out of 33 ). In terms of income deprivation, it is the $17^{\text {th }}$ most income deprived outer London borough (out of 20). However, this masks the widespread disadvantages and pockets of deprivation within the borough, and polarisation between the most deprived wards.

## B. 1 Early Years and Children Centres (0-5 years): Early Years Foundation Stage

## Overall Key Messages: Early Years Foundation Stage (EYFS)

- Key attainment measures are above national and London averages.
- The percentage gap between the lowest $20 \%$ and the median has become wider than previous years yet it remains below London and national gaps.
- Writing is the area that continues to be a focus for improvement.
- Intervention programs such as 'Every Child a Talker’ continue to contribute to improved results in linked areas of learning.
- Performance is above the national average in all key measures.

Within the Early Years Foundation Stage (EYFS) a child who achieves a scale score of 6 points or more is working securely within the early learning goals. Children, who achieve a score of 78 points or more across the 13 assessment scales, score an average of 6 points per scale. When a child who achieves this overall score also achieves a score of 6 or more in each of the Personal, Social and Emotional development (PSE) and Communication, Language and Literacy (CLL) areas of learning, that child is deemed to be reaching a good level of development.

The Early Years achievement gap summarises the degree of spread, or inequality of performance between the highest and lowest achieving children.

A revised framework for the EYFS has been published, for implementation from 1 September 2012. As a result of these changes, this document will provide the final update to the existing time series. The EYFSP in 2013 will report pupils performance based on the revised profile.

The key achievements of the Early Years Foundation Stage Profile are set out below:


Merton's results compared to London \& National Results:


Key context messages in relation to pupil groups

- The gap between children known to be eligible for Free School Meals and those not known to be eligible is narrower than nationally
- Proportionally more children than nationally, not eligible for Free School Meals are in the lowest achieving 20\%.
- Girls continue to achieve at a higher level than boys. Within each assessment area more girls than boys work securely ( 6 points or more) within the Early Learning Goals.
- The widest gender gap in achievement is in writing (15\%), this gap is decreasing.
- There are a larger proportion of girls in Merton in the lowest achieving 20\% than the national average.
- Merton children with SEN in the EYFS attained below National and London children with SEN and featured as a larger proportion of the lowest achieving 20\%.
- Merton pupils from most ethnic groups achieved above the national average for their ethnic group for a good level of overall achievement.
- Children with the ethnic group of Bangladeshi, Black African and mixed heritage White \& Black Caribbean in Merton attain a good level of development, that is below their ethnic group national average, and hence more children featuring in the lowest achieving $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ than the national average.
- A greater proportion of children whose first language is English achieved a good level of development, compared to pupils for whom English is not their first language. Both groups are above their pational average with the gap between these qroups the same as the nationa London the qap is narrower.


## Early Years Foundation Stage achievements by contextual groups:

| Contextual Groups |  | \% achieving both 6 or more in all PSE scales and 6 or more in all CLL scales and a total 78 points or more |  |  | \% children in the lowest achieving 20\% in the Early Years Foundation Stage |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 등 } \\ & \text { C } \\ & \hline 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\widetilde{0}} \\ & \frac{1}{0} \\ & \text { 읖 } \\ & \text { Z } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\widetilde{0}} \\ & \stackrel{1}{0} \\ & \text { 으̃ } \\ & \text { Z } \end{aligned}$ |
| Deprivation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Known to be eligible for Free School Meals | 403 | 53\% | 55\% | 48\% | 30\% | n/a | 34\% |
| Not eligible for Free School Meals | 2302 | 68\% | 67\% | 67\% | 20\% |  | 18\% |
| Disadvantage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disadvantaged pupils (FSM in last 6 years \& LAC) <br> All other Pupils | Disadvantage not measured within the Foundation Stage Profile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ethnic Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 1365 | 68\% | 67\% | 65\% | 18\% | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 19\% |
| White British | 957 | 71\% | n/a | 66\% | 13\% |  | 18\% |
| Irish | 18 | 67\% |  | 69\% | 11\% |  | 15\% |
| Traveller of Irish Heritage | 3 | 67\% |  | 28\% | 33\% |  | 56\% |
| Gypsy / Roma | 4 | 25\% |  | 26\% | 75\% |  | 58\% |
| Any Other White Background | 383 | 63\% |  | 55\% | 28\% |  | 30\% |
| Mixed | 276 | 68\% | 67\% | 64\% | 18\% |  | 20\% |
| White and Black Caribbean | 73 | 58\% |  | 61\% | 25\% |  | 21\% |
| White and Black African | 37 | 70\% |  | 63\% | 19\% |  | 21\% |
| White and Asian | 61 | 74\% |  | 68\% | 15\% |  | 17\% |
| Any Other Mixed Background | 105 | 72\% |  | 65\% | 16\% |  | 19\% |
| Asian | 598 | 66\% | 65\% | 60\% | 27\% |  | 26\% |
| Indian | 100 | 76\% | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 70\% | 18\% |  | 18\% |
| Pakistani | 144 | 64\% |  | 53\% | 29\% |  | 33\% |
| Bangladeshi | 41 | 51\% |  | 56\% | 46\% |  | 30\% |
| Any Other Asian Background | 313 | 65\% |  | 64\% | 26\% |  | 22\% |
| Black | 410 | 59\% | 61\% | 61\% | 27\% |  | 24\% |
| Black Caribbean | 94 | 64\% | n/a | 60\% | 22\% |  | 23\% |
| Black African | 269 | 58\% |  | 61\% | 29\% |  | 24\% |
| Any Other Black Background | 47 | 60\% |  | 59\% | 28\% |  | 26\% |
| Chinese | 19 | 63\% | 59\% | 62\% | 26\% |  | 23\% |
| Any Other Ethnic Group | 54 | 59\% | n/a | 57\% | 28\% |  | 29\% |
| Refused / Information not Obtained | 19 | 61\% |  | 60\% | 17\% |  | 25\% |
| First Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| First Language: English | 1556 | 70\% | 68\% | 65\% | 15\% | n/a | 18\% |
| First Language: Other than English | 1185 | 61\% | 61\% | 56\% | 30\% |  | 29\% |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 1334 | 74\% | 73\% | 73\% | 17\% | n/a | 15\% |
| Male | 1407 | 58\% | 56\% | 55\% | 26\% |  | 26\% |
| Special Educational Needs (SEN) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Special Educational Needs | 2454 | 72\% | 70\% | 68\% | 16\% | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 16\% |
| School Action | 179 | 22\% | 33\% | 27\% | 67\% |  | 54\% |
| School Action Plus | 65 | 14\% | 27\% | 23\% | 69\% |  | 60\% |
| SEN (with Statement) | 43 | 9\% | 5\% | 5\% | 86\% |  | 89\% |
| Looked After Children (LAC) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Looked After | LAC numbers are not collected within the Foundation Stage Profile10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not Looked After |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Early Years Foundation Stage achievements by deprivation:



Early Years Foundation Stage achievements by gender:


Targeted actions to support continued improvement in Early Years

- To successfully implement the new Early Years Foundation Stage framework and its related assessment requirements in all provision.
- To continue to focus on early language development programmes such as Every Child a Talker
- To develop and support the growing 2 year old provision.
- To continue to work with schools and settings to further improve early transitions.


## B. 2 Primary Phase (6-11 years): Year 1 Phonic Screening Check

## Overall Key Messages: Year 1 Phonic Screening Check

- In 2012, pupils were deemed to have met the required standard of phonic decoding if they scored 32 or more out of a possible 40 in the test. 57\% of Year 1 pupils achieved the expected level in Merton. Merton is broadly in line with national outcomes but below London borough averages.
- There is variation between Merton schools in the percentage meeting the required standard of phonic decoding between $\mathbf{2 4 \%}$ and $89 \%$.

In September 2011, the Government announced that a new statutory phonics screening check for all children in Year 1 would be introduced during the current academic year. The purpose of the check is to confirm whether each child has learnt phonic decoding to an ageappropriate standard. The check comprises a list of 40 words ( $50 \%$ pseudo words) and a teacher known to the child is required to use their professional judgment about which responses are correct.

In 2012, pupils were deemed to have met the required standard of phonic decoding if they scored 32 or more out of a possible 40 in the check.

Pupils in year 2, 2013, who did not reach the required standard in year 1, 2012, or who where absent are required to re-sit the phonics screening check.

The key achievements of the Early Year 1 Phonics Screening Check are set out below:

|  | 2012 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ¢ |  |
| \% meeting the required standard of phonic decoding | 57\% | 60\% | 58\% |

Merton's results compared to London \& National Results:
\%meeting the required standard of phonic decoding


## Key context messages in relation to pupil groups

- The gap between children known to be eligible for Free School Meals and those not known to be eligible is in line with national. Across the London boroughs both groups standard of decoding is above national and the gap between the groups is narrower.
- Girls outperformed boys in the check with $60 \%$ per cent meeting the required standard compared to $54 \%$ of boys. Merton's gender gap is narrower than national, as a result of Merton girls performing below girls nationally and boys in line.
- Pupils from an Indian background, mixed White and Black African and mixed White and Asian pupils had the highest percentage achieving the required standard.
- Black Caribbean, mixed White and Black Caribbean and Gypsy/Roma and Travellers of Irish heritage are the groups whose standard of decoding is below overall Merton outcomes and below those of their peers nationally.
- There is no gap in the London boroughs average and nationally with $58 \%$ of pupils whose first language is English met the required standard of phonic decoding, the same percentage as those whose first language was recorded as other than English. Whilst Merton has a gap of $2 \%$ with those pupils whose first language other than English has standard of decoding above all other children.
- Pupils with SEN have outcomes below those with no SEN. Pupils with SEN at School Action Plus outcomes are above national peers with this level of SEN.


## Year 1 Phonic Screening Check achievements by contextual groups:

| Contextual Groups |  | \% meeting the required standard of phonic decoding |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 등 } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Deprivation |  |  |  |  |
| Known to be eligible for Free School Meals | 377 | 42\% | 50\% | 44\% |
| Not eligible for Free School Meals | 1943 | 59\% | 64\% | 61\% |
| Disadvantage (FSM in last 6 years) |  |  |  |  |
| Disadvantaged pupils | 428 | 44\% | n/a | 45\% |
| All other Pupils | 1892 | 60\% |  | 62\% |
| Ethnic Group |  |  |  |  |
| White | 1111 | 55\% | 59\% | 57\% |
| White British | 784 | 56\% | n/a | 58\% |
| Irish | 14 | 50\% |  | 61\% |
| Traveller of Irish Heritage | <10 | 50\% |  | 16\% |
| Gypsy / Roma | <10 | 0\% |  | 17\% |
| Any Other White Background | 308 | 54\% |  | 53\% |
| Mixed | 225 | 58\% | 61\% | 60\% |
| White and Black Caribbean | 58 | 45\% | n/a | 54\% |
| White and Black African | 33 | 73\% |  | 58\% |
| White and Asian | 49 | 71\% |  | 65\% |
| Any Other Mixed Background | 85 | 54\% |  | 61\% |
| Asian | 510 | 66\% | 66\% | 62\% |
| Indian | 54 | 72\% | n/a | 70\% |
| Pakistani | 144 | 64\% |  | 57\% |
| Bangladeshi | 37 | 54\% |  | 59\% |
| Any Other Asian Background | 275 | 67\% |  | 66\% |
| Black | 371 | 50\% | 60\% | 60\% |
| Black Caribbean | 87 | 43\% | n/a | 54\% |
| Black African | 221 | 50\% |  | 62\% |
| Any Other Black Background | 63 | 62\% |  | 58\% |
| Chinese | 14 | 57\% | 73\% | 69\% |
| Any Other Ethnic Group | 66 | 52\% | n/a | 57\% |
| Unclassified | 23 | 35\% | n/a | 22\% |
| First Language |  |  |  |  |
| First Language: English | 1296 | 56\% | 61\% | 58\% |
| First Language: Other than English | 1023 | 58\% | 61\% | 58\% |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 1163 | 60\% | 64\% | 62\% |
| Male | 1157 | 54\% | 57\% | 54\% |
| Special Educational Needs (SEN) |  |  |  |  |
| No Special Educational Needs | 1967 | 63\% | 67\% | 65\% |
| School Action | 230 | 21\% | 33\% | 25\% |
| School Action Plus | 85 | 27\% | 31\% | 25\% |
| SEN (with Statement) | 38 | 8\% | 13\% | 12\% |
| Looked After Children (LAC) |  |  |  |  |
| Not Looked After | LAC numbers are not yet available within the Year 1 Phonics Screening Check |  |  |  |
| Looked After |  |  |  |  |  |

## Year 1 Phonics Screening Check achievements by deprivation:



Year 1 Phonics Screening Check achievements by gender:


Targeted actions to support continued improvement in the Year 1 Phonics Screening Check

- Further training for staff to improve phonics teaching and assessment in all schools.


## B. 3 Primary Phase (6-11 years): Key Stage 1

## Overall Key Messages: Key Stage 1

- Performance at Key Stage 1 has improved over the last 3 years.
- Nationally, the 2012 Key Stage 1 show that the percentage of pupils achieving the expected level has increased (larger than in previous years) in all subjects, the largest increases in reading and writing. Merton does not mirror the national improvement trend. Whilst English subjects have improved, maths attainment is below previous years.
- Writing continues to be the focus for improvement although attainment has improved on the last 3 years.
- Merton attainment at level 3 and above continues to fall below national and London outcomes.

Key Stage 1 is completed by most pupils in years 1 and 2 of primary school. Pupils are teacher assessed and schools may use tests to inform assessments. Pupils are measured against a range of English measures (speaking and listening, reading and writing) as well as maths and science. Pupils are expected to achieve a level 2, where level 2 is split into subdivision of $2 \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~B}$ and 2 A , a level 2 B performance is considered to be expected performance.

The key achievements at Key Stage 1 are set out below:

| Teacher Assessments | 2010 |  |  | 2011 |  |  | 2012 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ¢ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { त } \\ & \text { 을 } \\ & \text { त } \end{aligned}$ |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |
| \% Reading level 2+ | 83\% | 84\% | 85\% | 84\% | 85\% | 85\% | 86\% | 87\% | 87\% |
| \% Writing level 2+ | 77\% | 80\% | 81\% | 80\% | 81\% | 81\% | 81\% | 83\% | 84\% |
| \% Maths level 2+ | 89\% | 88\% | 89\% | 89\% | 89\% | 90\% | 89\% | 90\% | 91\% |
| \% Reading level 2B+ | 72\% | 71\% | 72\% | 74\% | 73\% | 74\% | 74\% | 76\% | 77\% |
| \% Writing level 2B+ | 55\% | 59\% | 60\% | 59\% | 61\% | 61\% | 60\% | 64\% | 65\% |
| \% Maths level 2B+ | 70\% | 71\% | 73\% | 74\% | 73\% | 74\% | 73\% | 76\% | 77\% |
| \% Reading level 3+ | 26\% | 23\% | 26\% | 23\% | 24\% | 26\% | 24\% | 26\% | 27\% |
| \% Writing level 3+ | 11\% | 11\% | 12\% | 10\% | 12\% | 13\% | 12\% | 13\% | 14\% |
| \% Maths level 3+ | 22\% | 19\% | 20\% | 20\% | 20\% | 20\% | 19\% | 21\% | 22\% |
| Average Point Score (reading, writing \& maths) | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.2 | 15.1 | 15.2 | 15.3 | 15.2 | 15.5 | 15.5 |

Merton's results compared to London \& National Results:


Level 2+ Attainment in Maths


## Key context messages in relation to pupil groups

- The gap between children known to be eligible for Free School Meals and those not known to be eligible is wider than nationally and the London boroughs.
- In terms of average points scores, Merton follows the national trend of pupils eligible for Free School Meals attaining a sub level lower than those not known to be eligible, an average level 2C to the all pupil average of 2B.
- In Merton schools at Key Stage 1, girls continue to outperform boys in reading and writing. There is no gender gap in maths. Nationally boys attain below girls in all subjects. Merton's gender gap is not as wide as the national.
- Whilst low performance in writing is an issue for both genders, girls are further below the national outcome for their gender group in all subjects.
- Attainment for statemented pupils exceeds that of national and London averages in reading and maths.
- At Key Stage 1, most ethnic groups are broadly in line with national ethnic group averages, children with the ethnic group of Black African and all mixed heritage groups in Merton attain a below levels of their peers across all subject areas.
- Although small in number, the traditionally lower attaining group of Gypsy/Roma, Merton Key Stage 1 pupils from this group has attained above those nationally in all subjects.
- A greater proportion of children whose first language is English achieved at Key Stage 1, compared to pupils for whom English is not their first language. First language - English pupils are below their national average with the gap broadly in line for pupils for whom English is not their first language.


## Key Stage 1 achievements by contextual groups:

| Contextual Groups |  | \% Level ${ }^{2+}$ Attainment in Reading |  |  | \% Level ${ }^{2+}$ Attainment in Writing |  |  | \% Level ${ }^{2+}$ Attainment in Maths |  |  | Average Point Score (APS) reading, writing \& maths |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 듬 } \\ & \text { O } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 气 } \\ & \begin{array}{l} 0 \\ \hline 0 \\ \Sigma \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 을 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 듬 } \\ & \text { O} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{0} \\ & \frac{t}{0} \\ & \Sigma \end{aligned}$ | 듬 |  |
| Deprivation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Known to be eligible for Free School Meals | 342 | 74\% | 81\% | 76\% | 64\% | 75\% | 70\% | 77\% | 85\% | 82\% | 13.2 |  | 14.1 |
| Not eligible for Free School Meals | 1881 | 89\% | 89\% | 90\% | 84\% | 86\% | 86\% | 91\% | 92\% | 93\% | 15.5 |  | 16.1 |
| Disadvantage (FSM in last 6 years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disadvantaged pupils | 445 | 77\% | n/a | 78\% | 68\% |  | 72\% | 80\% | n/a | 84\% | 13.7 | n/a | 14.1 |
| All other Pupils | 1777 | 89\% |  | 90\% | 84\% |  | 87\% | 91\% |  | 93\% | 15.6 |  | 16.1 |
| Ethnic Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 1095 | 85\% | 87\% | 87\% | 79\% | 83\% | 83\% | 90\% | 91\% | 91\% | 15.3 | n/a | n/a |
| White British | 770 | 88\% | n/a | 88\% | 82\% | n/a | 84\% | 91\% | n/a | 92\% | 15.6 |  | 15.7 |
| Irish | 15 | 87\% |  | 90\% | 87\% |  | 86\% | 87\% |  | 93\% | 15.7 |  | 16.2 |
| Traveller of Irish Heritage | $<10$ | 0\% |  | 39\% | 0\% |  | 32\% | 0\% |  | 55\% | 5.0 |  | 10.5 |
| Gypsy / Roma | <10 | 60\% |  | 38\% | 80\% |  | 34\% | 80\% |  | 50\% | 13.4 |  | 10.2 |
| Any Other White Background | 304 | 80\% |  | 79\% | 74\% |  | 75\% | 88\% |  | 87\% | 14.6 |  | 14.7 |
| Mixed | 185 | 83\% | 88\% | 88\% | 78\% | 84\% | 84\% | 86\% | 91\% | 91\% | 14.8 |  | n/a |
| White and Black Caribbean | 48 | 77\% | n/a | 86\% | 69\% | n/a | 81\% | 79\% | n/a | 89\% | 13.9 |  | 15.2 |
| White and Black African | 28 | 82\% |  | 88\% | 75\% |  | 83\% | 86\% |  | 90\% | 14.4 |  | 15.5 |
| White and Asian | 37 | 86\% |  | 90\% | 81\% |  | 88\% | 92\% |  | 92\% | 15.3 |  | 16.2 |
| Any Other Mixed Background | 72 | 86\% |  | 88\% | 85\% |  | 85\% | 88\% |  | 91\% | 15.3 |  | 15.8 |
| Asian | 447 | 90\% | 90\% | 88\% | 84\% | 87\% | 84\% | 90\% | 92\% | 90\% | 15.5 |  | n/a |
| Indian | 60 | 97\% | n/a | 93\% | 93\% | n/a | 91\% | 95\% | n/a | 94\% | 16.5 |  | 16.5 |
| Pakistani | 134 | 84\% |  | 85\% | 76\% |  | 80\% | 85\% |  | 87\% | 14.5 |  | 14.7 |
| Bangladeshi | 33 | 79\% |  | 87\% | 73\% |  | 83\% | 85\% |  | 89\% | 15.2 |  | 15.1 |
| Any Other Asian Background | 250 | 92\% |  | 89\% | 88\% |  | 86\% | 92\% |  | 91\% | 15.9 |  | 15.8 |
| Black | 363 | 88\% | 87\% | 87\% | 81\% | 82\% | 82\% | 86\% | 88\% | 88\% | 14.7 |  | n/a |
| Black Caribbean | 83 | 88\% | n/a | 84\% | 88\% | n/a | 79\% | 90\% | n/a | 86\% | 14.7 |  | 14.6 |
| Black African | 235 | 88\% |  | 88\% | 79\% |  | 83\% | 86\% |  | 88\% | 14.8 |  | 15.2 |
| Any Other Black Background | 45 | 87\% |  | 85\% | 76\% |  | 80\% | 82\% |  | 86\% | 14.2 |  | 14.7 |
| Chinese | 12 | 100\% | 94\% | 90\% | 100\% | 91\% | 87\% | 100\% | 98\% | 96\% | 17.5 |  | 16.7 |
| Any Other Ethnic Group | 76 | 87\% | n/a | 83\% | 83\% | n/a | 79\% | 92\% | n/a | 88\% | 14.9 |  | 14.8 |
| First Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| First Language: English | 1229 | 88\% | 88\% | 88\% | 82\% | 84\% | 84\% | 90\% | 91\% | 91\% | 15.4 | n/a | 15.7 |
| First Language: Other than English | 994 | 85\% | 86\% | 84\% | 79\% | 83\% | 80\% | 88\% | 90\% | 88\% | 14.9 |  | 15.0 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 1122 | 89\% | 90\% | 90\% | 84\% | 88\% | 88\% | 89\% | 92\% | 92\% | 15.5 | 15.9 | 16.0 |
| Male | 1101 | 84\% | 84\% | 84\% | 77\% | 79\% | 78\% | 89\% | 89\% | 89\% | 14.9 | 15.1 | 15.1 |
| Special Educational Needs (SEN) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Special Educational Needs | 1853 | 94\% | 95\% | 95\% | 89\% | 92\% | 93\% | 95\% | 97\% | 97\% | 16.0 | n/a | 16.5 |
| School Action | 231 | 56\% | 70\% | 63\% | 43\% | 60\% | 53\% | 66\% | 78\% | 74\% | 11.7 |  | 12.4 |
| School Action Plus | 96 | 42\% | 58\% | 50\% | 36\% | 49\% | 41\% | 53\% | 66\% | 61\% | 10.7 |  | 11.4 |
| Statement | 43 | 26\% | 25\% | 24\% | 12\% | 19\% | 17\% | 28\% | 26\% | 26\% | 7.8 |  | 7.2 |
| Looked After Children (LAC) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not Looked After |  |  | LAB? 3 nbers are not yet available within Key Stage 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Looked After |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Key Stage 1 achievements by deprivation:


Key Stage 1 achievements by gender:


## Targeted actions to support continued improvement in Key Stage 1

- To raise awareness of the expected pupil progress rates that are required to demonstrate good or better progress.
- To raise teacher expectations around writing and independent learning.
- Offer guidance and challenge to schools to use pupil premium funding to target appropriate support to increase rates of progress.


## B. 4 Primary Phase (6-11 years): Key Stage 2

## Overall Key Messages: Key Stage 2

- Performance is in line with national for the level 4 and above attainment indicators.
- Expected progress in English from key stage 1 to key stage 2 is significantly above the national averages.
- Percentile rankings for value added ranks Merton 10, therefore Merton primary pupils have made more progress than pupils in $90 \%$ of other local authorities nationally. In English value added ranks Merton 9 and maths a rank of 14.
- Attainment at level 4 and above and progress in maths is not as high as English. Level 5 and above is 2\% higher than English, with English 3\% above the national, maths 4\% above.

Key stage 2 is completed for most pupils between year 3 and 6 of the primary phase. National Curriculum standards have been designed so that most pupils will progress by approximately one level every two years. Pupils are expected to achieve level 4 by the end of Key Stage 2 and to make two levels of progress between Key Stage 1 and Key Stage 2.

There were significant changes to the Key Stage 2 assessment arrangements in 2012. The introduction of level 6 testing and schools were no longer required to administer a writing test and submit this for external marking. As a result, measures based on teacher assessments for writing have been introduced for the first time. Therefore, this year's figures for English cannot be compared to the figures for English that were published in earlier years, which were based solely on tests. The headline measure of English in 2012 is based upon a combination of reading test and writing teacher assessment outcomes for pupils.

Note, when comparing with 2010, 9 schools did not administer tests, only schools with test results are included in the 2010 analysis ( $79 \%$ of the cohorts results). As a result, the 2010 national results may be over-stated by one percentage point.

The key achievements at Key Stage 2 are set out below:

| Tests (aggregation of schools with test results) | 2010 |  |  | 2011 |  |  | 2012 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{5}{0} \\ & \frac{7}{0} \\ & \sum \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 을 } \\ & \text { O } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 등 O 0 |  | ¢ ¢ ¢ ¢ |  |  |
| \% Level 4+ in English \& maths | 77\% | 76\% | 73\% | 77\% | 76\% | 74\% | 79\% | 82\% | 79\% |
| \% Level 4+ English | 84\% | 82\% | 80\% | 84\% | 83\% | 82\% | 86\% | 87\% | 85\% |
| \% Level 4+ maths | 82\% | 82\% | 79\% | 83\% | 82\% | 80\% | 84\% | 86\% | 84\% |
| \% Level 5+ in English \& maths | 27\% | 25\% | 23\% | 25\% | 23\% | 21\% | 30\% | 29\% | 27\% |
| \% Level 5+ English | 38\% | 35\% | 33\% | 33\% | 30\% | 29\% | 41\% | 39\% | 38\% |
| \% Level 5+ maths | 38\% | 37\% | 34\% | 40\% | 38\% | 35\% | 43\% | 42\% | 39\% |
| Average Point Score (English \& maths) | 28.0 | 27.8 | 27.5 | 28.0 | 27.7 | 27.5 | 28.6 | n/a | 28.3 |
| \% of expected Progress <br> in English | 91\% | 87\% | 83\% | 89\% | 88\% | 84\% | 94\% | 92\% | 89\% |
| \% of expected Progress in maths | 87\% | 85\% | 82\% | 87\% | 86\% | 83\% | 88\% | 90\% | 87\% |
| Value Added | n/a | n/a | n/a | 100.6 | n/a | 100.0 | 100.6 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 100.0 |

Merton's results compared to London \& National Results:


Key context messages in relation to pupil groups

- Merton has a wider gap between children eligible for free school meals (deprivation), children disadvantaged and their peers than national for attainment measures and expected progress in mathematics.
- Expected progress in English from key stage 1 to key stage $\mathbf{2}$ is significantly above the national averages for pupils who are disadvantaged.
- Merton pupils from most ethnic groups achieved above the national average for their ethnic group in the key attainment measures.
- Children with the ethnic group of White British, mixed heritage White \& Black Caribbean, Black Caribbean, Black African and Chinese in Merton attain level 4 and above in English \& maths, that is below their ethnic group national average.
- Expected progress in English from key stage 1 to key stage 2 is significantly above the national averages for White British and pupils from any other mixed heritage background. Chinese pupils in Merton are the only ethnic group with expected progress in English below their group nationally.
- Expected progress in maths is significantly below the national average for pupils of Black Caribbean heritage.
- The gender gap at Key Stage 2 in Merton is narrower than nationally with boys attaining above boys nationally.
- Both genders expected progress in English is significantly above national averages.
- Merton children with SEN in Key Stage 2 attain below National and London children with SEN.
- Expected progress in English for all SEN groups is above national, school action progress in English significantly above national averages. School action plus pupils are significantly below national for expected progress in maths.
- A greater proportion of children whose first language is other than English achieved levels of attainment and progress compared to pupils for whom English is not their first language.
- Whilst achievement gaps remain between Looked After Children and their peers. Looked After Children within Merton schools attain a sub level higher than Looked after children nationally. Progress is also above children in care averages.


## Key Stage 2 achievements by contextual groups:



## Key Stage 2 achievements by deprivation:



Key Stage 2 achievements by gender:


Key Stage 2 achievements by ethnic group:


## Targeted actions to support continued improvement in Key Stage 2

- Targeted maths project for identified schools to increase rates of progress in maths (the target is to exceed the London average for progress in maths).
- Targeted English group (for schools with results below national indicators for progress or attainment); to develop expertise to ensure most children make good or better progress.
- Offer guidance and challenge to schools to use pupil premium funding to target appropriate support to increase rates of progress.


## B. 5 Secondary Phase (12-19 years): Key Stage 3

## Overall Key Messages: Key Stage 3

- There has been improvement at Key Stage 3 over the previous 3 years.
- Performance is above the national and London for all main attainment indicators.
- Level 5 and above and Level 6 and above outcomes are broadly in line between the subjects, English and maths. Level 6 and above in English, in Merton, is 9\% above national attainment.

Pupils are required to take part in National Curriculum assessments when they reach the end of the KS3 programme of study, typically aged 14. Pupils are awarded levels on the National Curriculum scale to reflect their attainment.

Teacher Assessments at KS3 are made in the core subjects of English, mathematics and science. Pupils are expected to achieve level 5 by the end of Key Stage 3.

The DfE publish little comparative information regarding Key Stage 3.
The key achievements at Key Stage 3 are set out below:

| Teacher Assessments (aggregation of schools with TA results) | 2010 |  |  | 2011 |  |  | 2012 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 등 } \\ & \text { O} \end{aligned}$ |  | ¢ $\substack{\text { ¢ } \\ \text { 2 }}$ | 등 |  |  | 등 O O |  |
| \% Level 5+ English | 81\% | 79\% | 79\% | 80\% | 81\% | 82\% | 88\% | 84\% | 84\% |
| \% Level 5+ maths | 77\% | 79\% | 80\% | 79\% | 80\% | 81\% | 87\% | 83\% | 83\% |
| \% Level 6+ English | 45\% | 51\% | 43\% | 51\% | 46\% | 47\% | 61\% | 52\% | 52\% |
| \% Level 6+ maths | 55\% | 57\% | 58\% | 54\% | 57\% | 59\% | 64\% | 60\% | 61\% |
| \% Level 5+ in English \& maths | n/a | n/a | n/a | 76\% | n/a | n/a | 83\% | n/a | n/a |
| \% Level 6+ in English \& maths | n/a | n/a | n/a | 45\% | n/a | n/a | 52\% | n/a | n/a |

[^0]Merton's results compared to London \& National Results:
Level 5+ Attainment in English


Level 5+ Attainment in maths


## Key Stage 3 achievements by contextual groups:



## Actions to support continued improvement in Key Stage 3

- Offer guidance and challenge to schools to use pupil premium funding to target appropriate support to increase rates of progress.
- Brokerage of Merton Expert Teachers to support specific subject areas in identified schools.


## B. 6 Secondary Phase (12-19 years): Key Stage 4

## Overall Key Messages: Key Stage 4

- At 5+ GCSEs A*-C Merton has made a 36\% improvement over 5 years. For 5+ GCSEs A*-C including English \& maths a 19\% improvement.
- Percentile rankings for value added ranks Merton 14, therefore Merton secondary pupils have made more progress than pupils in $\mathbf{8 6 \%}$ of other local authorities nationally. In English value added ranks Merton 18 and maths a rank of 5.
- Expected progress in English and maths from key stage 2 to key stage 4 is significantly above the national averages. Expected progress in maths is $10 \%$ above national progress.

Key stage 4, known as GCSE (General Certificate of Secondary Education) are the main qualifications studied by pupils in years 10 and 11. GCSEs are studied in a wide range of academic and 'applied' (work related) subjects. Other accredited qualifications with GCSE equivalence are also included.

Achievement results for the previous 3 years:

| Results of Key Stage 4 Pupils | 2010 |  |  | 2011 |  |  | 2012 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ¢ <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | ¢ ¢ ¢ ¢ | ¢ |  | ¢ ¢ U ¢ | ¢ <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 |  |
| \% 5+ A-C grades (or equiv) including English and maths GCSEs | 52.4\% | 58.0\% | 53.4\% | 59.8\% | 61.9\% | 58.9\% | 59.1\% | 62.3\% | 59.4\% |
| \% 5+ A-C grades (or equiv) | 77.1\% | 77.8\% | 75.4\% | 85.8\% | 82.0\% | 79.5\% | 84.6\% | 84.1\% | 81.8\% |
| English Baccalaureate | 13.6\% | n/a | 15.6\% | 14.0\% | 17.9\% | 17.6\% | 14.2\% | 19.5\% | 18.3\% |
| \% achieving grades A-C in English and maths GCSE's | 52.6\% | n/a | 54.0\% | 59.9\% | 62.4\% | 59.5\% | 59.7\% | 62.9\% | 59.9\% |
| \% 5+ A-G grades (or equiv) including English and maths GCSEs | 92.7\% | 94.1\% | 88.7\% | 94.4\% | 94.5\% | 92.1\% | 94.2\% | 94.9\% | 92.4\% |
| \% 5+ A-G grades (or equiv) | 94.1\% | 95.3\% | 92.8\% | 95.6\% | 95.6\% | 93.5\% | 95.2\% | 96.2\% | 94.0\% |
| \% Any passes | 98.5\% | 99.0\% | 99.0\% | 99.3\% | 99.2\% | 99.2\% | 99.6\% | 99.3\% | 99.5\% |
| Capped average point score per pupil | 330.7 | 335.3 | 327.2 | 346.8 | 343.2 | 336.6 | 343.4 | 347.1 | 341.0 |
| Average total point score per pupil | 439.8 | 446.1 | 437.9 | 475.2 | 465.8 | 462.9 | 475.5 | 474.9 | 472.0 |
| \% making expected progress in English between KS2-KS4 | 67.8\% | 74.6\% | 69.9\% | 74.7\% | 77.1\% | 72.0\% | 70.8\% | 75.0\% | 68.0\% |
| \% making expected progress in maths between KS2-KS4 | 64.2\% | 69.2\% | 62.5\% | 74.0\% | 72.5\% | 64.9\% | 78.2\% | 76.6\% | 68.7\% |
| Value Added | n/a | n/a |  | $1^{1013.9}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 1000.0 | 1011.0 | n/a | 1000.0 |

Merton's results compared to London \& National Results:
\%5+ A*-C grades (or equiv) including English and maths GCSEs

\%making expected progress in English between KS2-KS4

\%making expected progress in maths between KS2-KS4


Key context messages in relation to pupil groups

- For pupils in Merton eligible for Free School Meals for the key attainment measures, 5+A*-C including English \& maths GCSE's, and the capped average point score are significantly above that of the national groups. Expected Progress in English and maths is also significantly above National.
- The gap between those eligible for free school meals and their peers is narrower than London and National.
- White British pupils attain significantly below National averages in key attainment measures yet are significantly above national in expected progress KS2-KS4 mathematics.
- Asian or Asian British Pakistani pupils have achieved significantly above National.
- Pupils from any other Black background, mixed heritage White and Black Caribbean and any other ethnic group are significantly above national for the capped average point score per pupil and expected progress in maths.
- SEN pupils on School Action Plus in Merton for the key attainment measures, 5+A*C including English \& maths GCSE's, and the capped average point score are significantly above that of the national groups. Expected Progress in English and maths is also significantly above National.
- Progress for both genders in maths is significantly higher than national and boys also progress significantly above national in English.
- A greater proportion of children whose first language is other than English achieved levels of attainment and progress compared to pupils for whom English is not their first language.
- As with Key Stage 2, whilst achievement gaps remain between Looked After Children and their peers. Looked After Children within Merton schools achieve above national children in care averages.

Key Stage 4 achievements by contextual groups:

| Contextual Groups |  | \% 5+ A-C grades (or equiv) including English and maths GCSEs |  |  | \% 5+ A-C grades (or equiv) |  |  | \% making expected progress in English between KS2-KS4 |  |  | \% making expected progress in maths between KS2-KS4 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{0} \\ & \frac{1}{0} \\ & \sum 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 듬 } \\ & \text { 등 } \\ & \hline 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { त्ర } \\ & \text { O} \\ & \text { 흘 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{0} \\ & \frac{1}{0} \\ & \sum 1 \end{aligned}$ | 등 |  |  | 등 |  |  | ¢ |  |
| Deprivation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Known to be eligible for Free School Meals | 268 | 45\% | 49\% | 36\% | 79\% | 76\% | 69\% | 64\% |  | 52\% | 62\% | /a | 50\% |
| Not eligible for Free School Meals | 1276 | 62\% | 66\% | 63\% | 86\% | 87\% | 85\% | 72\% |  | 71\% | 82\% |  | 72\% |
| Disadvantage (FSM in last 6 years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disadvantaged pupils | 450 | 46\% | n/a | 39\% | 78\% | n/a | 70\% | 65\% | n/a | 54\% | 65\% | n/a | 52\% |
| All other Pupils | 1099 | 64\% |  | 66\% | 87\% |  | 87\% | 73\% |  | 73\% | 84\% |  | 75\% |
| Ethnic Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 764 | 56\% | 62\% | 59\% | 82\% | 84\% | 83\% | 65\% | n/a | 67\% | 74\% | n/a | 67\% |
| White British | 581 | 55\% | n/a | 59\% | 82\% | n/a | 83\% | 64\% |  | 67\% | 72\% |  | 67\% |
| Irish | 19 | 74\% |  | 67\% | 79\% |  | 85\% | 68\% |  | 74\% | 79\% |  | 72\% |
| Traveller of Irish Heritage | <10 | 0\% |  | 17\% | 0\% |  | 38\% | 0\% |  | 27\% | 0\% |  | 26\% |
| Gypsy / Roma | <10 | 50\% |  | 9\% | 100\% |  | 38\% | 50\% |  | 26\% | 50\% |  | 20\% |
| Any Other White Background | 161 | 61\% |  | 53\% | 84\% |  | 80\% | 71\% |  | 73\% | 86\% |  | 75\% |
| Mixed | 124 | 66\% | 63\% | 60\% | 88\% | 84\% | 83\% | 71\% |  | 70\% | 78\% |  | 68\% |
| White and Black Caribbean | 40 | 70\% | n/a | 53\% | 93\% | n/a | 79\% | 71\% |  | 63\% | 84\% |  | 59\% |
| White and Black African | 14 | 43\% |  | 60\% | 79\% |  | 84\% | 62\% |  | 72\% | 67\% |  | 72\% |
| White and Asian | 19 | 74\% |  | 68\% | 89\% |  | 87\% | 78\% |  | 75\% | 83\% |  | 75\% |
| Any Other Mixed Background | 51 | 67\% |  | 62\% | 86\% |  | 85\% | 70\% |  | 73\% | 73\% |  | 72\% |
| Asian | 259 | 68\% | 70\% | 63\% | 86\% | 87\% | 86\% | 82\% |  | 77\% | 88\% |  | 79\% |
| Indian | 38 | 74\% | n/a | 74\% | 89\% | n/a | 91\% | 75\% |  | 83\% | 94\% |  | 86\% |
| Pakistani | 96 | 69\% |  | 54\% | 91\% |  | 83\% | 88\% |  | 71\% | 89\% |  | 72\% |
| Bangladeshi | 19 | 79\% |  | 62\% | 89\% |  | 85\% | 78\% |  | 77\% | 82\% |  | 78\% |
| Any Other Asian Background | 106 | 64\% |  | 62\% | 80\% |  | 85\% | 81\% |  | 79\% | 87\% |  | 85\% |
| Black | 342 | 55\% | 57\% | 55\% | 87\% | 82\% | 82\% | 75\% |  | 74\% | 77\% |  | 73\% |
| Black Caribbean | 108 | 48\% | n/a | 50\% | 88\% | n/a | 80\% | 73\% |  | 68\% | 67\% |  | 64\% |
| Black African | 183 | 55\% |  | 58\% | 86\% |  | 84\% | 76\% |  | 78\% | 81\% |  | 78\% |
| Any Other Black Background | 51 | 69\% |  | 50\% | 92\% |  | 80\% | 73\% |  | 68\% | 84\% |  | 66\% |
| Chinese | 12 | 58\% | 83\% | 76\% | 92\% | 96\% | 93\% | 90\% |  | 85\% | 92\% |  | 94\% |
| Any Other Ethnic Group | 39 | 69\% | n/a | 56\% | 95\% | n/a | 82\% | 82\% |  | 76\% | 94\% |  | 80\% |
| First Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| First Language: English | 1041 | 57\% | 62\% | 59\% | 83\% | 84\% | 83\% | 67\% | n/a | 67\% | 74\% | n/a | 68\% |
| First Language: Other than English | 506 | 62\% | 63\% | 56\% | 87\% | 85\% | 83\% | 80\% |  | 76\% | 88\% |  | 78\% |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 737 | 64\% | 67\% | 64\% | 89\% | 86\% | 86\% | 75\% | n/a | 75\% | 79\% | n/a | 71\% |
| Male | 812 | 54\% | 58\% | 54\% | 81\% | 81\% | 80\% | 66\% |  | 62\% | 78\% |  | 67\% |
| Special Educational Needs (SEN) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Special Educational Needs | 1161 | 70\% | 74\% | 69\% | 92\% | 91\% | 90\% | 78\% | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 75\% | 87\% | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 77\% |
| School Action | 178 | 31\% | 38\% | 28\% | 71\% | 75\% | 69\% | 59\% |  | 52\% | 63\% |  | 48\% |
| School Action Plus | 137 | 31\% | 29\% | 20\% | 70\% | 64\% | 55\% | 56\% |  | 41\% | 54\% |  | 36\% |
| Statement | 73 | 10\% | 11\% | 8\% | 27\% | 30\% | 27\% | 22\% |  | 25\% | 26\% |  | 22\% |
| Looked After Children (LAC) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Looked After | 10 | 40\% | n/a | 19\% | 60\% | n/a | 47\% | 38\% | n/a | 35\% | 40\% | n/a | 34\% |
| Not Looked After | 1539 | 59\% |  | 58\% | $458$ |  | 82\% | 71\% |  | 67\% | 78\% |  | 68\% |

## Key Stage 4 achievements by deprivation:



## Key Stage 4 achievements by gender:



## Key Stage 4 achievements by ethnic group:



## Targeted actions to support continued improvement in Key Stage 4

- To support schools in preparing pupils well for the raised expectations in GCSE English to ensure English outcomes match the London average.
- Offer challenge to schools to use pupil premium funding to target appropriate support to increase rates of progress.
- Brokerage of Merton Expert Teachers to support specific subject areas in identified schools.


## B. 7 Secondary Phase (12-19 years): Post 16

## Overall Key Messages: Post 16

- Merton continues to attain above national in A levels and equivalents.
- The increases shown by Merton are at a faster rate than in London and nationally.
- The gap between Merton and National performance has narrowed from 2009 in the key measures.
- The proportion of 16-18 young people not in education, employment or training has improved over the last 3 years and is consistently better than national.

Post 16 is the range of qualifications studied by students of sixth-form age (16-18) in secondary schools and further education sector colleges. These level 3 qualifications are commonly referred to as GCE and Applied GCE A/AS and equivalent qualifications in a broad range of subjects.

In July 2012, Merton had nine schools with sixth form provision, six schools with year 13 pupils, Ursuline High, Wimbledon College, St Mark's CofE Academy, Ricards Lodge High, Rutlish (under consortia as RR6) and Raynes Park High School whose students for 2012 entered examinations in conjunction with Ursuline High and Wimbledon College. Harris Academy Merton although located in Merton does not appear in the school and college (key stage 5) achievement and attainment tables in Merton and does not contribute to the Merton outcomes. Harris Academy Merton is part of a post 16 South London federation. The outcomes for this federation are published in the achievement and attainment tables for the London Borough of Croydon.

Achievement results for the previous 3 years:

| General and Vocational A/AS or Equivalent Achievement | 2010 |  |  | 2011 |  |  | 2012 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{0} \\ & \frac{t}{0} \\ & \sum \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 등 } \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { त } \\ & \frac{1}{0} \\ & \text { Z } \end{aligned}$ | ¢ | ¢ <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{\pi} \\ & \stackrel{c}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\pi} \\ & \frac{\pi}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  | ¢ <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 |  |
| Average Point Score Per Student | 726.7 | 698.8 | 726.6 | 743.5 | 712.8 | 728.3 | 727.2 | 695.1 | 714.3 |
| Average Point Score Per Examination Entry | 212.2 | 212.6 | 211.1 | 216.1 | 214.5 | 213.1 | 218.0 | 209.3 | 209.3 |

Merton's results compared to London \& National Results:


Average Point Score per Examination Entry


## 16-18 year olds not in education, employment or training (NEET)

NEET is a government acronym which refers to 16-24 year olds currently not in education, employment or training. The inverse of this is EET individuals engaged in employment, education or training. There is also a section of the 16-24 year old population whose current participation status is 'not known'.

Information on the number of young people NEET in each local area is drawn from the client databases maintained by local authorities. An annual estimate is based on average figures for November to January. 2011 cannot be compared with previous years because in the latest data young people have been recorded according to where they live, rather than where they study, as had been the case in the past. The formula for NEET calculation is to change in 2012.

The characteristics of young people who are not participating are diverse, although there are some groups that are at greater risk of becoming NEET. This includes, for example, those with few or no qualifications and those with a health problem, disability or low aspirations.

NEET and 'not known' for the previous 3 years:

|  | 2010 |  |  | 2011 |  |  | 2012 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NEET \& 'not known' | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{c}{0} \\ & \frac{t}{0} \\ & \Sigma \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 들 } \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { त } \\ & \text { 을 } \\ & \text { Z } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 들 } \\ & \text { O } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{\pi} \\ & \text { © } \\ & \text { O} \\ & \text { Z } \end{aligned}$ |  | ¢ |  |
| 16-18 year old NEET | 5.6\% | 5.3\% | 6.4\% | 5.5\% | 5.0\% | 6.0\% | 4.6\% | 4.5\% | 6.1\% |
| 16-18 year old where activity is 'not known' | 4.9\% | 4.4\% | 4.0\% | 7.3\% | 6.3\% | 4.4\% | 17.7\% | 13.7\% | 9.4\% |

Merton's NEET outcomes compared to London \& National Results:

16-18 year old NEET


Targeted actions to support continued improvement in Post 16

- Raising Participation Age (RPA) action plan being implemented drawing together teams within LA and partners (this includes curriculum development and apprenticeships).
- Targeted adviser working in each secondary school re. NEET prevention.
- Sharing good practice Post 16 through Post 16 forum.


## B. 8 Virtual School

The Virtual School for Looked After Children (LAC) produces an annual report on outcomes. The headlines for 2011-2012 were:

- An improvement in the overall attendance of LAC.
- The continued trend for no LAC pupil being permanently excluded.
- A slight rise in fixed term exclusions.
- At KS1 all non-SEND LAC pupils achieved national expectations.
- One child took key stage 2 SATS and achieved level 5 in English and maths.
- At key stage 4, 73\% pupils gained 5+A*-G or equivalent - a five year upward trend.
- Post 16 LAC and care leavers, 2 young people achieved degrees, 13 are studying for degrees, 6 achieved level 3 qualifications and 12 achieved level 2 qualifications.


## Overall Key Messages: Attendance

- Attendance/absence rates have improved on last year across the Primary and Special school phases of education and are better than national rates.
- The reduction in unauthorised absence is apparent across the Special school phase.
- Targeting pupils at risk of becoming Persistent Absentees has driven improvement in persistent absentee rates at the new $15 \%$ threshold lower than national rates for Primary and Special phases.
- Illness is the most frequent reason for absence.

Attendance up to 2012 has been measured across 2 and a half terms of the school year (autumn, spring and the summer term to the half term). Currently information is only reported for pupils of compulsory school age.

Merton's attendance and absence compared to London \& National Results:


Overall Absence - All Schools


15\%Persistent Absence - All Schools


## Attendance and absence for the previous 3 years are set out below:

| Percentage Attendance/Absence by phase | 2010 |  |  | 2011 |  |  | 2012 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ᄃ } \\ & \frac{1}{0} \\ & \Sigma \Sigma \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 듬 } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 气 } \\ & \text { C } \\ & \text { D } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 듬 } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & { }^{*} \overline{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \Sigma \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ¢ 응 ¢ |  |
| Attendance Primary Schools | 94.7\% | 94.6\% | 94.8\% | 95.1\% | 94.6\% | 95.0\% | 95.4\% | n/a | n/a |
| Attendance Secondary Schools | 93.0\% | 93.6\% | 93.1\% | 93.5\% | 93.6\% | 93.5\% | 93.4\% |  |  |
| Attendance Special Schools | 90.2\% | 89.2\% | 89.7\% | 91.1\% | 89.2\% | 90.0\% | 92.4\% |  |  |
| Attendance All Schools | 94.0\% | 94.1\% | 94.0\% | 94.4\% | 94.1\% | 94.2\% | 94.8\% |  |  |
| Overall Absence Primary Schools | 5.3\% | 5.4\% | 5.2\% | 4.9\% | 5.4\% | 5.0\% | 4.6\% | n/a | n/a |
| Overall Absence Secondary Schools | 7.0\% | 6.4\% | 6.9\% | 6.5\% | 6.4\% | 6.5\% | 6.6\% |  |  |
| Overall Absence Special Schools | 9.8\% | 10.8\% | 10.3\% | 8.9\% | 10.8\% | 10.0\% | 7.6\% |  |  |
| Overall Absence All Schools | 6.0\% | 5.9\% | 6.0\% | 5.6\% | 5.9\% | 5.8\% | 5.2\% |  |  |
| Authorised Absence Primary Schools | 4.7\% | 4.4\% | 4.5\% | 4.3\% | 4.4\% | 4.3\% | 3.9\% | n/a | n/a |
| Authorised Absence Secondary Schools | 5.4\% | 5.0\% | 5.4\% | 5.0\% | 5.0\% | 5.1\% | 5.0\% |  |  |
| Authorised Absence Special Schools | 7.8\% | 9.1\% | 8.3\% | 7.4\% | 9.1\% | 8.0\% | 6.6\% |  |  |
| Authorised Absence All Schools | 5.0\% | 4.7\% | 5.0\% | 4.6\% | 4.7\% | 4.7\% | 4.3\% |  |  |
| Unauthorised Absence Primary Schools | 0.6\% | 1.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.6\% | 1.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.6\% | n/a | n/a |
| Unauthorised Absence Secondary Schools | 1.6\% | 1.4\% | 1.5\% | 1.5\% | 1.4\% | 1.4\% | 1.6\% |  |  |
| Unauthorised Absence Special Schools | 2.0\% | 1.7\% | 2.0\% | 1.5\% | 1.7\% | 2.0\% | 0.9\% |  |  |
| Unauthorised Absence All Schools | 1.0\% | 1.2\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.2\% | 1.1\% | 0.9\% |  |  |
| 15\% Persistence Absence Primary Schools | 4.7\% | n/a | 4.4\% | 4.0\% | 4.0\% | 3.9\% | 3.5\% | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a |
| 15\% Persistence Absence Secondary Schools) | 10.1\% |  | n/a | 8.1\% | 7.1\% | 8.4\% | 9.0\% |  |  |
| 15\% Persistence Absence Special Schools | 20.4\% |  | 17.1\% | 15.0\% | 19.4\% | 16.7\% | 14.7\% |  |  |
| 15\% Persistence Absence All Schools | 6.8\% |  | n/a | 5.7\% | 5.5\% | 6.1\% | 5.2\% |  |  |
| 12.5\% Persistence Absence Primary Schools | 8.5\% | n/a | 7.7\% | 6.8\% | n/a | 7.0\% | 6.7\% | n/a | n/a |
| 12.5\% Persistence Absence Secondary Schools | 14.9\% |  | n/a | 12.1\% |  | 12.5\% | 13.7\% |  |  |
| 12.5\% Persistence Absence Special Schools | 26.7\% |  | 22.1\% | 20.6\% |  | 21.8\% | 20.2\% |  |  |
| 12.5\% Persistence Absence All Schools | 11.0\% |  | n/a | 8.8\% |  | 9.7\% | 8.9\% |  |  |
| 10\% Persistence Absence Primary Schools | n/a | n/a | n/a | 12.5\% | n/a | 12.6\% | 12.0\% | n/a | n/a |
| 10\% Persistence Absence Secondary Schools |  |  |  | 19.3\% |  | 19.2\% | 21.1\% |  |  |
| 10\% Persistence Absence Special Schools |  |  |  | 27.5\% |  | 29.1\% | 26.6\% |  |  |
| 10\% Persistence Absence All Schools |  |  |  | 15.0\% |  | 15.8\% | 14.7\% |  |  |

* 2012 secondary schools exclude academies.
$12.5 \%$ and $10 \%$ Persistence absence exclude academies.

Key context messages in relation to pupil groups

- Girls and boys share a lower level of overall absence and persistent absence than girls and boys nationally.
- Pupils eligible for Free School Meals have greater rates of absence and persistent absence than those not eligible for Free School Meals.
- Pupils in Merton eligible for Free School Meals are less likely to be absent or persistent absentees than their peers nationally.
- Rates of persistent absenteeism in Merton for White British, Asian or Asian British Bangladeshi, Asian or Asian British Indian, White Irish, and Chinese pupils are above national averages.
- Absence and Persistent absence is greater for pupils whose first language is English.
- SEN pupils have higher rates of absence than pupils with no SEN. A greater proportion of pupils on School Action Plus are persistent absentees in comparison with statemented and school action pupils.
- Measured independently, there is an improvement in the overall attendance of Looked After Children.


## Absence by contextual groups:



Absence by deprivation:


Targeted actions to support continued improvement in Attendance

- School based challenge and support to improve outcomes
- Multi-agency approaches to supporting some families and students


## Overall Key Messages: Exclusions

- Permanent exclusions have halved in 2011-2012 to 12 permanent exclusions.
- The number of fixed term exclusions in secondary schools has decreased in the last year, but remain well above (worse than) national and London rates.
- The average length of exclusion has remained constant at 2.4 days from last year.
- The prominent reason for Permanent Exclusion was for 'Verbal abuse/threatening behaviour against a pupil', $50 \%$ of the exclusions.
- The number of fixed term exclusions has decreased across primary and secondary phases, but is higher than the national average.
- The predominant reason for fixed term exclusion was 'Persistent Disruptive Behaviour' (28.7\%), followed by 'Physical Assault against a Pupil' (23.7\%) and 'Verbal Abuse/Threatening Behaviour against an Adult' (15.0\%).
- Year 8 has the highest number of pupils excluded, 178 pupils ( 307 exclusions), followed closely by Year 90 with 165 pupils ( 266 exclusions).

Exclusions are reported on a termly basis through School Census and captured two terms in arrears, this delays national aggregation and publication. Please note the information included in the report is taken from the DfE publications for Exclusions and may not match that reported in provisional data collection analysis. 2012 is provisional from Merton's internal data collection.

Merton's exclusions compared to London \& National Results:
Permanent Exclusions: LA Maintained Secondary \& Academies - \% of school population


Fixed Term Exclusions: LA Maintained Secondary \& Academies- \% of school population


## Exclusions for the previous 3 years are set out below:

| Exclusions by phase | 2010 |  |  | 2011 |  |  | 2012 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{c}{0} \\ & \frac{t}{0} \\ & \Sigma \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 을 } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { त्ट } \\ & \text { 읃 } \\ & \text { Z } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{0} \\ & \frac{4}{0} \\ & \sum \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 을 } \\ & \text { O} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { त్ } \\ & \text { 은 } \\ & \text { Z } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & { }_{\substack{* \\ \hline}}^{\substack{0}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ¢ <br> O <br> 0 <br> 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\widetilde{0}} \\ & \text { O} \\ & \text { O} \\ & \text { Z } \end{aligned}$ |
| Permanent Exclusions: Primary School number | 0 | 80 | 620 | 0 | 60 | 610 | 0 | n/a | n/a |
| Permanent Exclusions: LA Maintained Secondary School number | 16 | n/a | n/a | 21 | n/a | n/a | 12 |  |  |
| Permanent Exclusions: LA Maintained \& Academy Secondary School number | 19 | 990 | 5020 | 21 | 780 | 4370 | 12 |  |  |
| Permanent Exclusions: Special School number | 0 | 10 | 100 | 0 | 30 | 110 | 0 |  |  |
| Permanent Exclusions: <br> Primary - \% of school population | 0.00\% | 0.01\% | 0.02\% | 0.00\% | 0.01\% | 0.01\% | 0.00\% |  |  |
| Permanent Exclusions: LA Maintained Secondary \% of school population | 0.25\% | n/a | n/a | 0.24\% | n/a | n/a | 0.20\% |  |  |
| Permanent Exclusions: LA Maintained \& Academy Secondary - \% of school population | 0.24\% | 0.22\% | 0.15\% | 0.24\% | 0.17\% | 0.13\% | 0.16\% |  |  |
| Permanent Exclusions: Special - \% of school population | 0.00\% | 0.12\% | 0.11\% | 0.00\% | 0.21\% | 0.12\% | 0.00\% |  |  |
| Fixed Term Exclusions: Primary School number | 113 | 5210 | 37210 | 121 | 4920 | 37790 | 95 |  |  |
| Fixed Term Exclusions: LA Maintained Secondary School number | 1001 | n/a | n/a | 774 | n/a | n/a | 741 |  |  |
| Fixed Term Exclusions: LA Maintained \& Academy Secondary School number | 1184 | 37500 | 279260 | 1109 | 38250 | 271980 | 918 |  |  |
| Fixed Term Exclusions: Special School number | 42 | 2140 | 14910 | 46 | 2160 | 14340 | 64 |  |  |
| Fixed Term Exclusions: Primary - \% of school population | 0.84\% | 0.81\% | 0.91\% | 0.72\% | 0.75\% | 0.91\% | 0.64\% |  |  |
| Fixed Term Exclusions: LA Maintained Secondary - \% of school population | 15.78\% | n/a | n/a | 12.88\% | n/a | n/a | 12.18\% |  |  |
| Fixed Term Exclusions: LA Maintained \& Academy Secondary - \% of school population | 15.07\% | 8.31\% | 8.59\% | 12.88\% | 8.36\% | 8.40\% | 11.89\% |  |  |
| Fixed Term Exclusions: Special - \% of school population | 23.64\% | 18.09\% | 16.46\% | 18.40\% | 17.94\% | 15.66\% | 26.12\% |  |  |

## Key context messages in relation to pupil groups

- A larger proportion of boys than girls are excluded (83\% of fixed term exclusions are made to boys, $17 \%$ to girls).
- A majority of ethnic groups in Merton's secondary and academy schools have a rate of fixed term exclusions above the national group's averages. Proportionally 8\% more Black African pupils are excluded than nationally, and also 5\% more White British pupils.
- Exclusions of Black Caribbean pupils have reduced and are below national.
- The continued trend for no looked after child being permanently excluded.
- A slight rise in fixed term exclusions for looked after children.


## Exclusions by contextual groups:

| Contextual Groups | 00$\frac{0}{3}$040$\frac{1}{0}$$\frac{0}{1}$$\frac{1}{3}$ | \% Fixed Term <br> Exclusions: LA <br> Maintained Secondary Schools \& Academies |  |  | \% of pupils with <br> Fixed Term <br> Exclusions: LA <br> Maintained <br> Secondary <br>  <br> Academies |  |  | Average length <br> (days) of Fixed Term Exclusions: <br> LA Maintained Secondary Schools \& Academies |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{0} \\ & \frac{1}{0} \\ & \sum \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 득 } \\ & \text { 음 } \\ & \text { ó } \\ & \text { O } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{c}{0} \\ & \frac{1}{0} \\ & \sum \geq \end{aligned}$ | 등 | T ¢ O Z Z | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{c}{0} \\ & \frac{1}{0} \\ & \sum \end{aligned}$ | 등 |  |
| Ethnic Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 299 | 12.73\% | 7.61\% | 8.34\% | 7.80\% | n/a | n/a | 2.3 | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| White British | 245 | 13.78\% | 7.89\% | 8.35\% | 8.50\% |  |  | 2.3 |  |  |
| Irish | <10 | 7.62\% | 9.13\% | 8.88\% | 5.71\% |  |  | 1.5 |  |  |
| Traveller of Irish Heritage | $<10$ | 125.0\% | 45.03\% | 45.9\% | 25.00\% |  |  | 2.6 |  |  |
| Gypsy / Roma | <10 | 27.78\% | 17.3\% | 34.6\% | 16.67\% |  |  | 2.6 |  |  |
| Any Other White Background | 44 | 8.87\% | 5.95\% | 6.56\% | 5.34\% |  |  | 2.4 |  |  |
| Mixed | 52 | 10.41\% | 11.61\% | 12.16\% | 7.43\% |  |  | 2.0 |  |  |
| White and Black Caribbean | 28 | 14.29\% | 16.83\% | 18.29\% | 11.76\% |  |  | 2.1 |  |  |
| White and Black African | $<10$ | 9.09\% | 11.29\% | 11.10\% | 6.82\% |  |  | 1.0 |  |  |
| White and Asian | <10 | 3.36\% | 5.37\% | 6.76\% | 3.36\% |  |  | 2.3 |  |  |
| Any Other Mixed Background | 14 | 9.80\% | 10.07\% | 9.95\% | 5.49\% |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| Asian | 46 | 4.93\% | 3.67\% | 4.49\% | 3.55\% |  |  | 2.4 |  |  |
| Indian | $<10$ | 3.40\% | 1.97\% | 2.03\% | 2.04\% |  |  | 3.4 |  |  |
| Pakistani | 23 | 6.28\% | 5.02\% | 6.49\% | 4.98\% |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| Bangladeshi | $<10$ | 6.67\% | 5.30\% | 5.50\% | 4.76\% |  |  | 1.6 |  |  |
| Any Other Asian Background | 15 | 3.95\% | 3.00\% | 3.42\% | 2.57\% |  |  | 2.7 |  |  |
| Black | 188 | 16.99\% | 13.61\% | 12.78\% | 11.61\% |  |  | 2.3 |  |  |
| Black Caribbean | 61 | 16.70\% | 17.31\% | 17.22\% | 11.32\% |  |  | 2.4 |  |  |
| Black African | 99 | 18.08\% | 11.61\% | 10.26\% | 12.18\% |  |  | 2.3 |  |  |
| Any Other Black Background | 28 | 14.23\% | 14.30\% | 14.33\% | 10.49\% |  |  | 2.3 |  |  |
| Chinese | 0 | n/a | 0.98\% | 1.04\% | n/a |  |  | n/a |  |  |
| Any Other Ethnic Group | $<10$ | 3.72\% | 6.48\% | 6.49\% | 3.19\% |  |  | 1.3 |  |  |

## Exclusions by ethnic group:



Targeted actions to support continued improvement in Exclusions

- Advice and challenge to schools where exclusions are increasing or not reducing


## E. Appendices

## E. 1 Performance Tables for Key Stage 2

http://www.education.gov.uk/schools/performance/

|  | English |  | Mathematics |  | English \& mathematics |  | Average point score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level 4+ | Level 5 | Level 4+ | Level 5 | Level 4+ | Level 5 |  |
| LA Average | 86\% | 41\% | 84\% | 43\% | 79\% | 30\% | 28.6 |
| England Average | 85\% | 37\% | 84\% | 39\% | 79\% | 27\% | 28.2 |
| Primary Schools |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Abbotsbury Primary School | 84\% | 30\% | 75\% | 25\% | 74\% | 21\% | 27.2 |
| All Saints' CofE Primary School | 96\% | 25\% | 93\% | 46\% | 93\% | 18\% | 28.8 |
| Aragon Primary School | 79\% | 40\% | 74\% | 40\% | 70\% | 28\% | 27.8 |
| Beecholme Primary School | 83\% | 31\% | 62\% | 31\% | 62\% | 28\% | 26.9 |
| Benedict Primary School | 74\% | 26\% | 78\% | 26\% | 65\% | 22\% | 26.6 |
| Bishop Gilpin CofE Primary School | 98\% | 78\% | 100\% | 87\% | 98\% | 78\% | 33.1 |
| Bond Primary School | 74\% | 20\% | 89\% | 43\% | 74\% | 17\% | 27.5 |
| Cranmer Primary School | 97\% | 40\% | 97\% | 58\% | 95\% | 35\% | 30.2 |
| Dundonald Primary School | 100\% | 80\% | 96\% | 56\% | 96\% | 48\% | 31.3 |
| Garden Primary School | 74\% | 22\% | 67\% | 30\% | 63\% | 17\% | 26.3 |
| Garfield Primary School | 86\% | 72\% | 90\% | 66\% | 86\% | 59\% | 30.7 |
| Gorringe Park Primary School | 83\% | 28\% | 86\% | 38\% | 78\% | 19\% | 28.0 |
| Haslemere Primary School | 84\% | 38\% | 84\% | 45\% | 79\% | 33\% | 28.8 |
| Hatfield Primary School | 81\% | 23\% | 69\% | 27\% | 63\% | 15\% | 27.1 |
| Hillcross Primary School | 96\% | 38\% | 84\% | 33\% | 80\% | 22\% | 28.5 |
| Hollymount Primary School | 83\% | 48\% | 93\% | 55\% | 83\% | 38\% | 29.4 |
| Holy Trinity CofE Primary School | 89\% | 61\% | 89\% | 64\% | 86\% | 57\% | 30.5 |
| Joseph Hood Primary School | 95\% | 43\% | 86\% | 62\% | 86\% | 38\% | 29.4 |
| Liberty Primary School | 70\% | 24\% | 80\% | 32\% | 64\% | 18\% | 27.0 |
| Links Primary School | 88\% | 36\% | 84\% | 34\% | 80\% | 23\% | 27.9 |
| Lonesome Primary School | 83\% | 31\% | 69\% | 21\% | 66\% | 14\% | 26.7 |
| Malmesbury Primary School | 70\% | 17\% | 89\% | 37\% | 67\% | 11\% | 26.8 |
| Merton Abbey Primary School | 89\% | 39\% | 93\% | 39\% | 86\% | 32\% | 28.6 |
| Merton Park Primary School | 89\% | 50\% | 93\% | 50\% | 86\% | 43\% | 29.5 |
| Morden Primary School | 86\% | 28\% | 79\% | 34\% | 76\% | 14\% | 28.1 |
| Pelham Primary School | 96\% | 71\% | 88\% | 58\% | 88\% | 58\% | 30.5 |
| Poplar Primary School | 88\% | 43\% | 95\% | 41\% | 86\% | 29\% | 29.1 |
| The Priory CofE Primary School | 84\% | 50\% | 80\% | 46\% | 76\% | 36\% | 28.6 |


|  | English |  | Mathematics |  | English \& mathematics |  | Average point score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level 4+ | Level 5 | Level 4+ | Level 5 | Level 4+ | Level 5 |  |
| LA Average | 86\% | 41\% | 84\% | 43\% | 79\% | 30\% | 28.6 |
| England Average | 85\% | 37\% | 84\% | 39\% | 79\% | 27\% | 28.2 |
| Primary Schools |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sacred Heart RC Primary School | 96\% | 54\% | 91\% | 61\% | 91\% | 44\% | 30.2 |
| The Sherwood School | 81\% | 47\% | 72\% | 40\% | 70\% | 30\% | 28.0 |
| Singlegate Primary School | 93\% | 33\% | 90\% | 47\% | 90\% | 27\% | 28.7 |
| St John Fisher RC Primary School | 98\% | 56\% | 94\% | 56\% | 94\% | 42\% | 30.2 |
| St Mark's Primary School | 92\% | 35\% | 92\% | 42\% | 92\% | 23\% | 29.0 |
| St Mary's RC Primary School | 97\% | 53\% | 80\% | 40\% | 80\% | 33\% | 29.3 |
| St Matthew's CofE Primary School | 93\% | 69\% | 83\% | 55\% | 83\% | 48\% | 30.1 |
| St Peter and Paul RC Primary School | 83\% | 33\% | 78\% | 40\% | 75\% | 28\% | 27.8 |
| St Teresa's RC Primary School | 90\% | 40\% | 81\% | 31\% | 79\% | 23\% | 28.2 |
| St Thomas of Canterbury RC Primary School | 84\% | 22\% | 80\% | 22\% | 78\% | 11\% | 26.7 |
| Stanford Primary School | 90\% | 35\% | 78\% | 20\% | 76\% | 14\% | 27.7 |
| West Wimbledon Primary School | 97\% | 59\% | 94\% | 50\% | 94\% | 38\% | 30.1 |
| William Morris Primary School | 85\% | 33\% | 89\% | 19\% | 85\% | 19\% | 27.3 |
| Wimbledon Chase Primary School | 95\% | 66\% | 100\% | 64\% | 95\% | 52\% | 31.1 |
| Wimbledon Park Primary School | 84\% | 44\% | 87\% | 60\% | 82\% | 42\% | 29.9 |
| Special Schools |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cricket Green School | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 15.0 |
| St Ann's School | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | suppressed |


|  | \% making expected progress in English | \% making expected progress in maths |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LA Average | 94\% | 88\% |
| England Average | 89\% | 87\% |
| Primary Schools |  |  |
| Abbotsbury Primary School | 96\% | 82\% |
| All Saints' CofE Primary School | 92\% | 96\% |
| Aragon Primary School | 87\% | 80\% |
| Beecholme Primary School | 82\% | 71\% |
| Benedict Primary School | 95\% | 80\% |
| Bishop Gilpin CofE Primary School | 98\% | 100\% |
| Bond Primary School | 93\% | 93\% |
| Cranmer Primary School | 98\% | 98\% |
| Dundonald Primary School | 100\% | 91\% |
| Garden Primary School | 95\% | 77\% |
| Garfield Primary School | 100\% | 96\% |
| Gorringe Park Primary School | 82\% | 80\% |
| Haslemere Primary School | 100\% | 95\% |
| Hatfeild Primary School | 92\% | 73\% |
| Hillcross Primary School | 93\% | 74\% |
| Hollymount School | 78\% | 89\% |
| Holy Trinity CofE Primary School | 92\% | 96\% |
| Joseph Hood Primary School | 100\% | 95\% |
| Liberty School | 88\% | 85\% |
| Links Primary School | 96\% | 93\% |
| Lonesome Primary School | 93\% | 79\% |
| Malmesbury Primary School | 92\% | 92\% |
| Merton Abbey Primary School | 100\% | 100\% |
| Merton Park Primary School | 96\% | 93\% |
| Morden Primary School | 89\% | 86\% |
| Pelham Primary School | 100\% | 91\% |
| Poplar Primary School | 98\% | 100\% |
| The Priory CofE School | 96\% | 79\% |
| Sacred Heart RC Primary School | 96\% | 96\% |
| The Sherwood School | 90\% | 82\% |
| Singlegate Primary School | 93\% | 93\% |
| St John Fisher RC Primary School | 100\% | 96\% |
| St Mark's Primary School | 100\% | 100\% |
| St Mary's RC Primary School | 97\% | 73\% |
| St Matthew's CofE Primary School | 97\% | 86\% |
| SS Peter and Paul RC Primary School | 100\% | 97\% |
| St Teresa's RC Primary School | 98\% | 84\% |
| St Thomas of Canterbury RC Primary School | 94\% | 87\% |
| Stanford Primary School | 92\% | 82\% |
| West Wimbledon Primary School | 100\% | 100\% |
| William Morris Primary School | 100\% | 96\% |
| Wimbledon Chase Primary School | 94\% | 100\% |
| Wimbledon Park Primary School | 90\% | 93\% |
| Special Schools |  |  |
| Cricket Green School | 13\% | 0\% |
| St Ann's School | 0\% | 0\% |


|  | Value Added |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Value Added } \\ \text { Score }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Value Added Confidence } \\ \text { interval }\end{array}$ |  |  | Coverage |
|  | KS1 -KS2 |  |  |  |  | Lower Limit $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Upper Limit }\end{array}\right]$

## E. Appendices

## E. 2 Performance Tables for Key Stage 4

http://www.education.gov.uk/schools/performance/

## DfE Performance Tables GCSE - Outcomes:

|  | Results of Key Stage 4 pupils |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% of pupils achieving |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Average point score } \\ & \text { per pupil (uncapped) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LA Average | 59.1\% | 14.2\% | 59.7\% | 84.6\% | 95.2\% | 99.6\% | 475.5 | 343.4 | 11.4 |
| England Average | 59.4\% | 18.3\% | 59.9\% | 81.8\% | 94.0\% | 99.5\% | 472.0 | 341.0 | 11.3 |
| Secondary Schools |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bishopsford Arts College | 47\% | 0\% | 47\% | 79\% | 90\% | 99\% | 428.8 | 313.5 | 11.3 |
| Harris Academy Merton | 62\% | 1\% | 63\% | 99\% | 99\% | 100\% | 671.7 | 376.5 | 15.8 |
| Raynes Park High School | 44\% | 15\% | 45\% | 75\% | 95\% | 99\% | 412.2 | 318.5 | 10.8 |
| Ricards Lodge High School | 59\% | 18\% | 60\% | 87\% | 98\% | 100\% | 490.8 | 367.6 | 11.2 |
| Rutlish School | 74\% | 10\% | 76\% | 89\% | 99\% | 100\% | 488.0 | 352.9 | 11.7 |
| St Mark's Church of England Academy | 54\% | 5\% | 55\% | 88\% | 93\% | 100\% | 455.8 | 332.9 | 11.0 |
| Ursuline High School Wimbledon | 84\% | 38\% | 84\% | 99\% | 99\% | 100\% | 542.0 | 386.6 | 11.8 |
| Wimbledon College | 57\% | 22\% | 57\% | 77\% | 99\% | 99\% | 409.9 | 341.7 | 9.8 |
| Special Schools |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cricket Green School | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 94\% | 28.3 | 28.3 | 2.4 |
| Melrose School | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 9\% | 55\% | 91\% | 154.5 | 146.0 | 6.9 |
| Perseid School | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 22.3 | 22.3 | 2.1 |

DfE Performance Tables GCSE - Key Stage 2-4 Progress Measures:

|  | \% making expected <br> progress in English | \% making expected <br> progress in maths |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LA Average | $\mathbf{7 0 . 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 8 . 2 \%}$ |  |
| England Average - state funded schools only | $\mathbf{6 8 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 . 7 \%}$ |  |
| Secondary Schools |  |  |  |
| Bishopsford Arts College | $65 \%$ | $74 \%$ |  |
| Harris Academy Merton | $72 \%$ | $88 \%$ |  |
| Raynes Park High School | $54 \%$ | $77 \%$ |  |
| Ricards Lodge High School | $79 \%$ | $68 \%$ |  |
| Rutlish School | $89 \%$ | $82 \%$ |  |
| St Mark's Church of England Academy | $72 \%$ | $75 \%$ |  |
| Ursuline High School Wimbledon | $86 \%$ | $95 \%$ |  |
| Wimbledon College | $58 \%$ | $81 \%$ |  |
| Special Schools |  |  |  |
| Cricket Green School | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |  |
| Melrose School | $10 \%$ | $0 \%$ |  |
| Perseid School | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |  |

DfE Performance Tables GCSE - Value Added:

|  | Value Added |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | VA Score <br> KS2 -KS4 | KS2-4 VA Score <br> VA Confidence interval | Coverage |  |  |
|  |  | Lower Limit |  |  |  |
|  |  | 989.3 | 1012.5 | $79 \%$ |  |
| Bishopsford Arts College | 1035.8 | 1025.8 | 1045.9 | $97 \%$ |  |
| Harris Academy Merton | 985.1 | 975.9 | 994.2 | $86 \%$ |  |
| Raynes Park High School | 1026.0 | 1017.4 | 1034.6 | $94 \%$ |  |
| Ricards Lodge High School | 1012.5 | 1003.0 | 1022.1 | $88 \%$ |  |
| Rutlish School | 1013.4 | 1002.8 | 1024.1 | $83 \%$ |  |
| St Mark's Church of England Academy | 1035.7 | 1026.5 | 1045.0 | $96 \%$ |  |
| Ursuline High School Wimbledon | 988.4 | 979.3 | 997.4 | $98 \%$ |  |
| Wimbledon College |  |  |  |  |  |
| Special Schools | 884.4 | 854.3 | 914.5 | $53 \%$ |  |
| Cricket Green School | 938.0 | 907.1 | 969.0 | $73 \%$ |  |
| Melrose School | suppressed | suppressed | suppressed | suppressed |  |
| Perseid School |  |  |  |  |  |

Notes:
suppressed - Information has been suppressed because the underlying numbers are too small.

## E. 3 Performance Tables for Post 16

## http://www.education.gov.uk/schools/performance/

## DfE Performance Tables Post 16 - Outcomes:

|  | General and Vocational A/AS or Equivalent Achievement |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average Point Score Per Student | Average Point Score Per Examination Entry | \% achieving 3 or more A Levels or equivalent | \% achieving 2 or more A Levels or equivalent | \% achieving at least one advanced level qualification |
| LA Average | 727.2 | 218.0 | 84.4\% | 97.1\% | 99.7\% |
| England Average (excluding independent schools) | 714.3 | 209.3 | 81.2\% | 93.1\% | 99.9\% |
| Schools |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ricards Lodge High School | 558.3 | 199.0 | 67\% | 94\% | 100\% |
| Rutlish School | 599.3 | 181.6 | 85\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| St Mark's Church of England Academy | 617.7 | 214.6 | 33\% | 89\% | 100\% |
| Ursuline High School Wimbledon | 769.2 | 225.1 | 91\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Wimbledon College | 743.9 | 219.9 | 82\% | 95\% | 99\% |
| Sixth Form Centre/Consortia |  |  |  |  |  |
| RR6 | 579.9 | 189.1 | 76\% | 97\% | 100\% |

Notes:

1. Raynes Park did not have students entered for qualifications reported 2011-2012. Raynes Park students entered and reported through Ursuline High School Wimbledon and Wimbledon College.
2. Harris Academy Merton does not appear in the school and college (key stage 5) achievement and attainment tables in Merton and does not contribute to the Merton outcomes. Harris Academy Merton is part of a post 16 South London federation. The outcomes for this federation are published in the achievement and attainment tables for the London Borough of Croydon.
3. The sixth form consortia RR6 is a consortia of Ricards Lodge High School and Rutlish School.

[^0]:    Academies information is not supplied.
    2011 data is presented without one Merton secondary school.

